

## Evaluation of Intrathecal Dexmedetomidine as an Adjuvant to Hyperbaric Bupivacaine in Gynaecological Surgeries

Madhuri P. Loniker<sup>1</sup>, Shubhada A. Patil<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Associate Professor, Dept. of Anesthesiology, JIIU's Indian Institute of Medical Sciences & Research, Warudi, Badnapur, Dist. Jalna, Maharashtra 431202, India.

### Abstract

**Background:** Intrathecal dexmedetomidine is being used as an adjuvant to the local anesthetic agents. Dexmedetomidine has sedative and analgesic action. This study was carried out with the aim to observe and compare the onset and duration of sensory and motor block, duration of analgesia, hemodynamic changes, level of sedation and side effects between the two groups, i.e. Intrathecal hyperbaric bupivacaine v/s low dose dexmedetomidine and bupivacaine combination in patients posted for routine gynaecological surgeries. **Methods:** This was a prospective randomized controlled double blind study. Sixty adult female patients were divided into two groups. Group (B+D) received intrathecal 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine 17.5 mg (3.5 ml) with inj. Dexmedetomidine 10µg in 0.5 ml of normal saline and group (B+S) received Intrathecal 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine 17.5mg (3.5 ml) with 0.5 ml of normal saline. The assessment of the parameters like the onset time for sensory and motor block, regression time of the block to two dermatomes, T<sub>10</sub> dermatome, S<sub>1</sub> dermatome, Bromage 0 motor block and the time for request of first rescue analgesic dose was done in both groups. Also, the incidence of untoward side effects like bradycardia, hypotension, shivering and the sedation score in each patient was noted. Statistical analysis was carried out. **Results:** There was no statistical difference in the time of onset of sensory and motor block in both the groups. However, the time for regression of sensory block in the dexmedetomidine group to two dermatomes (188.87±81.79 min), T<sub>10</sub> dermatome (225.67± 32.51 min), S<sub>1</sub> dermatome (261.80± 79.50 min) and motor block to Bromage scale 0 (326.33±76.80 min) was significantly prolonged than in group (B+S) (109.67± 25.31, 147.67± 32.12, 180.33± 30.73 & 203.67± 28.69 min). The time for first request of rescue analgesic dose was significantly longer in dexmedetomidine group (360.67±80.19 min) than in normal saline group (203.66± 48.75 min). Differential Analgesia (DA) was evaluated as the difference between the time for rescue analgesic dose request and recovery of muscle power to Bromage 0 scale. It was significantly longer in (B+D) group (34.33± 30.11 min), whereas its presence was inconsistent (sometimes absent) in (B+S) group (mean value 10.33±18.94 min). **Conclusion:** Intrathecal dexmedetomidine 10 µg, added to hyperbaric bupivacaine is useful for gynecological surgeries, with minimal and easily treatable side effects and prolonged post-operative analgesia, even after cessation of block (Differential Analgesia). Also, it is helpful to avoid general anesthesia, even if the surgical procedure gets prolonged.

**Keywords:** Dexmedetomidine; Adjuvant; Intrathecal; Gynecological Surgeries.

### Introduction

Intrathecal  $\alpha_2$  adrenergic agonists are being used as adjuvant drugs to the local anesthetic agents [1-2]. Effects of local anesthetic agents are prolonged and the doses are reduced with their use [3]. Again, they

have been proved to prolong the effect of sensory and motor block. Dexmedetomidine has sedative and analgesic action when used by intravenous route. It has been proved that Dexmedetomidine has got opioid sparing effect [4]. This study was carried out with the aim to observe and compare the onset and duration of sensory and motor block, duration of analgesia

**Corresponding Author:** Shubhada A. Patil, Associate Professor, Dept. of Anesthesiology, JIIU's Indian Institute of Medical Sciences & Research, Warudi, Badnapur, Dist. Jalna, Maharashtra 431202, India.  
E-mail: [shubhanand84@gmail.com](mailto:shubhanand84@gmail.com), [madhuriloniker@gmail.com](mailto:madhuriloniker@gmail.com)

Received on 15.07.2017, Accepted on 28.07.2017

(from the injection of the drug to the complete recovery in the post-operative period), hemodynamic changes, level of sedation and incidence of shivering between two groups, i.e, intrathecal Bupivacaine plain v/s low dose of Dexmedetomidine and Bupivacaine combination in the patients posted for routine gynecological procedures.

## Materials and Methods

This study was carried out at our institute between January 2017 to March 2017. After the institutional ethical committee approval, our study commenced with informed written consent of each patient for the use of intrathecal Dexmedetomidine and the surgical procedure. Adult female patients undergoing routine gynecological procedures were included in our study. After thorough pre-anesthetic evaluation, patients with anemia (Hemoglobin less than 10 grams%), hypertension under treatment with two antihypertensive drugs, platelet inhibitors, ischemic heart disease, obesity (weight more than 80 kg), chronic obstructive airway disease (on treatment with bronchodilator drugs & abnormal FEV<sub>1</sub> values in spirometry), previous abdominal surgeries (possible intraperitoneal adhesions), features suggestive of probable difficult intubation were excluded from the study. Finally, fifty patients with ASA physical status I and II, between the age group of 35 to 70 years, undergoing Total Abdominal Hysterectomy and the surgery for the prolapsed uterus (Fothergill's repair or Vaginal Hysterectomy) were included in our study. Using a computer generated list of random numbers, patients were randomized and allocated to two groups-

A. (B+S) group-Hyperbaric Bupivacaine 0.5%-3.5 ml (17.5 mg) with 0.5 ml Normal Saline

B. (B+D) group-Hyperbaric Bupivacaine 0.5% 3.5 ml (17.5 mg) with 10 micrograms of Dexmedetomidine diluted in 0.5 ml volume of normal saline.

All the patients were preloaded with 500 ml of Ringer Lactate solution. Under strict aseptic precautions, lumbar puncture was performed in the sitting position at L<sub>3</sub>-L<sub>4</sub> level with 23 gauge spinal needle. The syringe was prepared and coded by a third person outside the operation theatre and given to the anesthesiologist performing the lumbar puncture, making the procedure double blind. All the patients were planned in supine position without head up/head down tilt for ten minutes. Immediately 2 liters of oxygen was started with a face mask. The

anesthesiologist jotted down the intra operative and post operative data, being blind to the drug combination administered. Patient was shifted from the postoperative room to the wards after complete recovery and recording of all the parameters till the required time.

Considering the time of intrathecal injection as 0, all the durations were noted. Baseline pulse rate, blood pressure and mean arterial pressure were taken at time 0, then every 5 minutes for first half an hour, then every 10 minutes up to first hour, and every half an hour till the discharge from the post operative room.

The sensory and motor blockades were tested every half minute for first 10 minutes. The time for the achievement of T<sub>10</sub> dermatome sensory block was noted and a minimum of T<sub>8</sub> dermatome block was assured using a bilateral pinprick sensation testing in the mid-clavicular line.

Modified Bromage Scale was used for the assessment of motor blockade as follows-

Bromage 0-The patient is able to make movements at the hip, knee and ankle joints

Bromage 1-The patient is unable to make movements at hip joint, but is able to make movements at knee and ankle joints.

Bromage 2-The patient is unable to make movements at hip and knee joints, but able to make movements at the ankle joints.

Bromage 3-The patient is unable to make movements at any of the hip, knee or ankle joints.

The Modified Bromage Scale was assessed bilaterally. The surgery was allowed to commence after T<sub>8</sub> dermatome sensory block and Modified Bromage Scale score 3 was achieved.

The time to reach the T<sub>10</sub> sensory dermatome level block, maximum sensory dermatome level block (assessed after 30 minutes), two dermatome sensory level regression time, the time for regression to T<sub>10</sub> dermatome and time for the regression to S<sub>1</sub> dermatome were noted. The time to achieve Modified Bromage Scale 3 and regressions to Bromage 0 were recorded. The level of sedation was evaluated after 30 minutes and every half an hour thereafter and score was taken as-

0- Alert

1-Occasionally drowsy but easy to arouse

2-Frequently drowsy but easy to arouse

3-Somnolent, but difficult to arouse

Visual Analog Score (VAS 1-100) was used for the assessment of pain in the recovery room every 30 minutes. Need for a rescue analgesic drug was noted with VAS more than 30/100. Intravenous Paracetamol 100 mg infusion was given. Intra operative or post operative incidences of Bradycardia (heart rate  $\leq$  60 beats per minute) were recorded and treated with inj. IV Glycopyrrrolate 0.2 mg or inj. Atropine 0.6 mg. Intra and post operative incidence of hypotension (fall of systolic blood pressure below 90 mm of Hg or more than 30% from the baseline BP) was recorded and treated with one or two doses of IV Mephenteramine 6 mg and 250 ml of Ringer Lactate solution. Intra or post operative incidence of shivering was noted and treated with IV Tramadol 0.5 mg/kg and inj. Hydrocortisone 100mg if required. A minimum IV fluid of 1litre of Ringer Lactate and 500 ml of Dextrose-Saline was given to each patient. Blood transfusion was given if necessary (blood losse  $\geq$  500 ml). All the patients had a follow-up of minimum 7 days till the discharge from hospital. Patients were enquired about post-spinal headache and backache.

#### Statistical Analysis

The collected data was compiled in EXCEL sheet and Master sheet was prepared. For analysis of this data SPSS (Statistical Software for social Sciences) software version 20th was used. Qualitative data was represented in form frequencies & percentages. To check association between group and qualitative data chi-square test was applied. Quantitative data was represented in form of mean & SD. To check significance difference between two groups unpaired t-test was applied. P-value was checked a 5% level of significance.

#### Results

In this study, sixty patients were included, no patient being excluded for any reason. There was no technical difficulty during administration of spinal block. The recovery was uneventful. The difference between the mean time to reach the  $T_{10}$  dermatome sensory block between the (B+S) group and the (B+D)

group was not significant (P value 0.584 ). The difference for the time to reach the  $T_{10}$  sensory level between the two groups was less in (B+D) group (Mean value  $3.80 \pm 1.47$  minutes) than (B+S) group (Mean value  $4.13 \pm 1.81$  minutes), but not statistically significant. The maximum peak sensory level attained with (B+S) group was  $T_7$  in 5 cases,  $T_6$  in 6 cases,  $T_5$  in 0 cases,  $T_4$  in 2 cases and  $T_2$  in 2 cases; while that with (B+D) group was  $T_7$  in 5 cases,  $T_6$  in 2 cases,  $T_5$  in 3 cases,  $T_4$  in 4 cases and  $T_{2,1}$  in 1 case. With the application of *Chi square test*, the difference in the distribution proved to be not significant statistically. The time for regression of sensory level to two dermatomes was ( $188.87 \pm 31.79$  minutes) for (B+D) group, while ( $109.67 \pm 25.31$  minutes) for (B+S) group, which was significantly higher ( $P < 0.0001$ ). Also, the regression time to  $T_{10}$  dermatome was ( $225.67 \pm 32.51$  minutes) for (B+D) group, while ( $147.67 \pm 32.12$  minutes) for (B+S) group; which was statistically significant. Similarly, there was significant difference between regression of sensory level to  $S_1$  dermatome ( $261.80 \pm 39.58$  minutes) for (B+D) group and ( $180.33 \pm 30.73$  minutes) for (B+S) group ( $P$  value  $< 0.0001$  ).

All the patients attained Bromage 3 motor blockade, but the group (B+D) could achieve in ( $4.80 \pm 2.62$  minutes), while the group (B+S) in ( $4.53 \pm 2.64$  minutes) which was not significant statistically ( $P$  value 0.784). The regression time of motor block to Bromage 0 was significantly longer in group (B+D) ( $326.33 \pm 76.85$  minutes) than group (B+S) ( $203.67 \pm 48.75$  minutes), ( $P$  value  $< 0.0001$ ).

The time at which the patient demands a dose of rescue analgesic drug (with Visual Analog Score  $> 30$ ) was significantly more in the group (B+D) ( $360.67 \pm 80.19$  minutes) than group (B+S) ( $203.66 \pm 48.75$  minutes) ( $p$  value  $< 0.0001$ ).

The Differential Analgesia (DA) was calculated as the difference between duration of analgesia and duration of complete regression of motor block to Bromage scale 0. Time for Differential Analgesia was significantly longer in (B+D) group ( $34.33 \pm 30.11$  minutes) than (B+S) group ( $10.33 \pm 18.94$  minutes), ( $P$  value = 0.014 ). In the (B+S) group, seven patients had duration of analgesia less than the duration of complete regression of motor block, meaning that the duration of Differential Analgesia was negative.

**Table 1:** Comparison of Mean Age, Weight & Height in Groups [Unpaired t-test]

	(B+ D) Group Mean $\pm$ SD	(B+ S) Group Mean $\pm$ SD	t-value	p-value
Age	46.26 $\pm$ 10.23	45.2 $\pm$ 6.68	0.338	P=0.738 NS
Weight	56.60 $\pm$ 10.74	59.67 $\pm$ 9.37	0.229	P=0.774 NS
Height	152.80 $\pm$ 10.74	155.00 $\pm$ 4.53	1.32	P=0.199 NS

(S-Significant, NS- Not Significant), There was no significant difference in the demographic data between the two groups

**Table 2:** MAX Level in Groups

MAX Level	(B+ D) Group	(B+ S) Group	Chi-square value	p-value
T1	00	00		
T2	01	02		
T3	00	00		
T4	04	02	6.00	P=0.199
T5	03	00		NS
T6	02	06		
T7	05	05		
Total	15	15		

There was no significant difference in the peak sensory level distribution in the two groups.

**Table 3:** Comparison of Mean Time to reach T<sub>10</sub> block & Bromage 3 motor blockade [In Minutes] in Groups [Unpaired t-test]

	(B+ D) Group Mean± SD	(B+ S) Group Mean± SD	t-value	p-value
Time to reach T <sub>10</sub> block	3.80±1.47	4.13±1.81	0.554	P=0.584 NS
Bromage 3 motor blockade	4.80±2.62	4.53±2.64	0.277	P=0.784 NS

**Table 4:** Comparison of Indices of recovery time in Groups [Unpaired t-test]

	(B+ D) Group Mean± SD	(B+ S) Group Mean± SD	t-value	p-value
Time to reach 2 Dermatome regression	188.87±31.79	109.67±25.31	7.55	P<0.0001 S
Regression Time to T10	225.67±32.51	147.67±32.12	6.11	P<0.0001 S
Regression Time to S <sub>1</sub> Dermatome	261.80±39.58	180.33±30.73	6.29	P<0.0001 S
Time to reach Bromage 0 motor block	326.33±76.85	203.67±28.69	5.72	P<0.0001 S
Time for rescue analgesic	360.67±80.19	203.66±48.75	6.48	P<0.0001 S
Time of Differential Analgesia (DA)- Sedation Score	34.33±30.11	10.33±18.94	2.61	P=0.014 S
	0.733±0.70	00	4.04	P<0.0001 S

**Table 5:** Adverse Effects in Groups [Chi-square test]

Adverse Effects	(B+ D) Group	(B+ S) Group	Chi-square value	p-value
Bradycardia	04(26.7%)	07(46.7%)	1.29	P=0.256 NS
Hypotension	09(60.0%)	05(13.3%)	2.14	P=0.143 NS
Shivering	05(33.3%)	07(46.7%)	0.556	P=0.456 NS

Throughout the intra operative and post operative period, the mean value of Heart Rate (HR) was (65 beats/minute) in the group (B+D) and (68 beats/minute) in the group (B+S). It was not significant (P value >0.0001).

Similarly, the Mean Arterial Pressure (MAP) was observed as (84 mm of Hg) in (B+D) group and (89 mm of Hg) in (B+S) group, the difference being insignificant (p value >0.0001).

All the patients had peripheral oxygen saturation more than 96% all the time, every patient received oxygen 2 liters /minute by a nasal cannula in the intra and post operative period.

(9) patients received inj. Mephenteramine 6 mg once in the (B+D) group and (5) patients in the (B+S) group which was statistically not significant (P value >0.0001). (4) patients in group (B+D) needed to be given inj. Atropine/ Glycopyrrolate for Bradycardia while (7) patients in the group (B+S) needed the same, which was not significant (P value >0.0001). Shivering occurred in (5) cases in (B+D) group, and (7) cases in (B+S) group; which was not significant (p value >0.0001). It was treated with 0.5-1 mg/kg dose of inj. Tramadol. The highest level of Sedation Score was (2) in (5) cases in the (B+D) group, while it was (0) in all the cases in the (B+S) group, being significantly higher in (B+D) group

(P value <0.0001 ).In the seven day post operative follow up of all the cases, onset of the new symptoms like headache, backache, buttock or leg pain was never observed.

### Discussion

There are many adjuvants used with the local anesthetic agents like Epinephrine, Adenosine, Magnesium sulphate, Neostigmine, Pentazocine, Fentanyl, Ketamine or Clonidine to prolong the duration of anesthesia and post-operative analgesia. But the side effects of these adjuvants have made their use limited. Intrathecal  $\alpha_2$  adrenergic agonists have antinociceptive action for both somatic and visceral pain (Shah et al) [4]. From this group, Clonidine has been used to potentiate the effects of local anesthetics allowing reduction in the dose requirement without causing respiratory depression (Bakshi U) [5]. Dexmedetomidine is an  $\alpha_2$  agonist approved by FDA in 1991 for use in humans. Intrathecal Dexmedetomidine has been shown to be effective in nociception, visceral as well as neuropathic pain; and its neurological safety has been proven for up to ten years for post-operative anesthesia follow-up (Mayank Gupta [6], Yektas et al [7], kimura et al [8], Yaksh TL et al [9], Liu HJ et al [10]. A small intrathecal dose of Dexmedetomidine (3  $\mu$ g) used in combination with Bupivacaine for spinal anesthesia has been shown to produce a shorter onset of motor block and prolongation in the duration of sensory and motor block with hemodynamic stability and lack of sedation (Al Mustafa MM et al [11]). In our study, the dose of Dexmedetomidine was chosen as 10  $\mu$ g, based on the observations of the previous studies. Mayank Gupta et al [6] have observed that addition of 10  $\mu$ g of Dexmedetomidine to intrathecal Bupivacaine significantly prolongs the duration of sensory block, motor block and Differential Analgesia in patients undergoing lower abdominal surgeries without increase in the incidence of side effects.

It is not well understood how intrathecal  $\alpha_2$  adrenergic agonists prolong the sensory and motor block (Dattatri [12]).The local anesthetic acts by blocking the sodium channels, while  $\alpha_2$  agonists are supposed to block the pre-synaptic c fibers and post-synaptic dorsal horn neurons. They depress the release of c- fiber transmitters and cause hyperpolarisation of post-synaptic dorsal horn neurons. The direct impairment of release of excitatory amino acids release by spinal interneurons might be leading to prolonged motor block (Shaikh et al [12], Hala EA et al [13]). Intrathecal Dexmedetomidine

exhibits its facilitatory and nociceptive effect by a dual mechanism of inhibiting the release of neurotransmitters by acting in the pre-synaptic  $\alpha_2$  A receptors and by hyperpolarizing the post-synaptic neurons [6,25]. Inhibition of motor neurons in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord might prolong the motor blockade [25]. However, ED<sub>50</sub> of Dexmedetomidine for sensory C fibers being 2.5  $\mu$ g and motor A $\beta$  fibers more than 10 $\mu$ g [26], the dose of intrathecal Dexmedetomidine was selected as 10 $\mu$ g.

Eid et al [24] have used higher doses (15 $\mu$ g),but were associated with higher sedation scores and highly prolonged duration of spinal block, which was unnecessary in our study, hence higher doses of Dexmedetomidine were not selected. Intrathecal Dexmedetomidine has been shown to be effective in nociceptive, visceral as well as neuropathic pain and its safety has been proved for up to ten years [6,8,9,10]. It was observed by Shaikh S.L. and Dattatri R [12]. That addition of Dexmedetomidine (5 to 10  $\mu$ g) to hyperbaric Bupivacaine intrathecally produced a rapid onset of sensory and motor block, prolonged the duration of sensory and motor block and the time for the requirement of the first analgesic significantly in a dose dependent manner. Hemodynamic parameters were found to be comparable and stable with minimal side effects.

Similarly, a dose dependent effect on the onset and regression of sensory and motor block and the time for requirement of first dose of rescue analgesic was observed along with lower VAS scores and minimal side effects by Tarbeeh [14] and Jamliya R.H. [15]. Time for regression of sensory level (430.05 $\pm$ 89.13 minutes), time for requirement of first dose of analgesic (459.8 $\pm$ 100.9 minutes) and the duration of motor blockade (323.05 $\pm$ 54.58 minutes) was observed by Nethra S.S. et al [16]; when 5  $\mu$ g of Dexmedetomidine (in 0.5 ml of normal saline) was added to 6 mg (1.2 ml) Bupivacaine which were significantly prolonged as compared to Bupivacaine 6 mg (1.2 ml) with 0.5 ml normal saline. The values given for dexmedetomidine group were, Time for regression of sensory level (301.10  $\pm$ 94.86 min), Time for the requirement of first dose of analgesic (321.85  $\pm$ 95.08 min) and the duration of motor block (220.10  $\pm$ 63.61 min). All of these values are comparable with our study module. However, in our study, as the dose of Bupivacaine was chosen as 17.5 mg and Dexmedetomidine as 10  $\mu$ g, longer durations were observed.

Kim J. E. et al [17] used 3  $\mu$ g Dexmedetomidine with 6 mg hyperbaric Bupivacaine and compared with same dose of Bupivacaine with normal saline

for the elderly male patients undergoing Transurethral Prostatectomy. It was found that the peak level was similar in both the groups. However, a faster onset time for the peak sensory level, longer duration of spinal block, time for the regression to two sensory dermatomes was higher with the Dexmedetomidine group as compared to the control group. The mean time for first analgesic request was longer in the Dexmedetomidine group (487 min) as compared to the control group (345 min). Recovery from the motor block was delayed. As the study was carried out in elderly patients, longer duration of analgesia than that in our study could be explained. Kanazi et al [18] compared the combination of 12mg of Bupivacaine with 3 µg of Dexmedetomidine with equal dose of Bupivacaine with normal saline. Significantly shorter onset of motor block was observed with Dexmedetomidine. In the Bupivacaine with Dexmedetomidine group, sensory regression time to two dermatomes (122 ±37 min), regression to S<sub>1</sub> dermatome (303 ±75 min) and the motor recovery to Bromage scale 0 (256 ±76 min) was observed as compared to the Bupivacaine with saline group as, sensory regression time to two dermatomes (80 ±28 min), time to S<sub>1</sub> dermatome regression (190 ±48 min) and motor regression time to Bromage scale 0 (163 ±42 min). These effects were noted without any significant hemodynamic instability or sedation. As higher dose of dexmedetomidine (10 µg) was used in the present study, the durations observed must have been longer.

As the dose of Dexmedetomidine chosen was higher in our study, sedation effect was significant as compared to control group, but the alterations in the hemodynamic parameters were acceptable and treatable with small doses of Atopine/Glycopyrrolate and vasopressors like Mephenteramine. Alarming situations like severe bradycardia or severe hypotension never occurred during our study course. Mayank Gupta et al [6] evaluated the concept of Differential Analgesia (DA). It was the duration of analgesia (time for the request of rescue analgesic) after complete regression of motor block to Bromage scale 0. In their study, the mean duration of DA was 147 minutes for bupivacaine with 10 µg dexmedetomidine.

These values are comparable to the values in our study. The mean duration of DA in our study was (34.33) minutes. However, the duration of Differential Analgesia was zero or negative in some patients of (B+S) group; indicating that it is a property mainly attributed to dexmedetomidine. A prolongation of the duration of DA is associated with a dual advantage of minimizing the untoward sequel of post-operative

pain (delayed wound healing, depressed immune functions, prolonged hospitalization, risk of neurosensitization and hence, chronic pain); as well as that of prolonged motor blockade (reduced mobilization, deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism etc) (Ramsay MAE [19]).

The median sensory block onset time was (3.80 ± 1.40) minutes in our study, Mayank Gupta et al [6] observed it as 3 minutes, which is comparable. Halder et al [22] also observed similar findings. The highest peak sensory level observed in our study was T<sub>2</sub>. Group (B+D) had one patient as compared to (B+S) group (2), being statistically insignificant. Similarly, a decrease in motor block onset time was observed in (B+D) group (4.80 ± 2.62 minutes) as compared to (B+S) group (4.53 ± 2.64) which is statistically insignificant (P value = 0.784). Similar findings were observed by Mayank Gupta et al [6]. Samantray et al [20] have reported no significant difference in sensory or motor blockade onset time with addition of Dexmedetomidine to hyperbaric Bupivacaine. This can be attributed to number of variables such as demographic profile, definition of onset time (T<sub>8</sub> v /s T<sub>10</sub>), volume of intrathecal injection, volume of the diluents used (0.1 v /s 0.5 ml), thereby affecting the concentration and the baricity of Bupivacaine, position of the patient (sitting v/s lateral) and individual pain sensitivity of patients (Gupta et al [6]).

The incidence of bradycardia was (26.7%) in (B+D) group and (46.7%) in (B+S) group which was statistically insignificant (P value = 0.256). However, the heart rate was never less than 55 beats/minute and easily reversed with 0.2 mg of inj. Glycopyrrolate. The incidence of hypotension was (60%) in (B+D) group and (13.3%) in (B+S) group which was statistically insignificant (P value = 0.143). Mayank Gupta et al [6] observed the incidence of bradycardia as 20% and hypotension as 30% which is similar to our observations. (as the higher dose of bupivacaine, 17.5 mg must have produced maximum sympatholysis, therefore addition of Dexmedetomidine might have made insignificant difference. Incidence of shivering was (33.3%) in (B+D) group as compared to (46.7%) in (B+S) group; which is statistically insignificant (p value = 0.456).

Nausea, vomiting and urinary retention were not observed in any patient in our study. The sedation score was observed as 0 (14 cases), 1 (12 cases) and 2 (4 cases) in the (B+D) group, while 0 in all the cases in the (B+S) group; the difference being statistically significant. Mayank Gupta et al [6] have found the highest sedation score as 2 seen in 40% of patients

within 10µg of Dexmedetomidine group, which is in coherence with our study as well as Tewari et al [21] and Nethra S et al [16].

### Conclusion

To conclude, 10 µg of intrathecal dexmedetomidine as an adjuvant to hyperbaric bupivacaine significantly prolongs the duration of sensory block, motor block and analgesia, but the onset of sensory and motor block is not hastened.

There is significant difference in the duration of Differential Analgesia when dexmedetomidine is added to bupivacaine. There is prolonged post-operative analgesia which is highly advantageous in patients posted for gynaecological surgeries, which are lower abdominal. Also, incidence of side effects was not significant with dexmedetomidine. Sedative and analgesic action of dexmedetomidine is sufficient to prolong the dose of rescue analgesic drug.

### Acknowledgements

We express our deep sensation of gratitude to the management, JIU trust and Dr. Amarnath B. Solepure, Dean, IIMSR Medical College, Badnapur, Jalna, Maharashtra. We also deeply acknowledge the help and support of Dr. Sudhir Bhope, Professor and Head, Dept. of Anesthesiology and Dr. A.M. Khadri, Medical superintendent, Noor Hospital, Badnapur and our patients.

### References

1. Strebel S, Gurzeler J, Schneider M, Aeschbach A, Kindler C. Small dose intrathecal clonidine and isobaric bupivacaine for orthopedic surgery; a dose response study. *Anesth Analg* 2004;99:1231-8.
2. Dobrydnjov I, Axelsson K, Thorn SE, et al. Clonidine combined with small dose bupivacaine during spinal anesthesia for inguinal herniorrhaphy; a randomized double-blinded study. *Anesth Analg* 2003;96:1496-503.
3. Martin E, Ramsay G, Mantz J, Sum-Ping ST. The role of the alpha 2 adrenoceptor agonist dexmedetomidine in post-surgical sedation in the intensive care unit. *J Intensive Care Med* 2000;18:29-34.
4. Shah A, Patel I, Gandhi R. Hemodynamic effects of intrathecal dexmedetomidine added to ropivacaine intraoperatively and for postoperative analgesia. *Intl J of Basic Clin Pharm* 2013;2:26-9.
5. Bakshi U, Chatterjee S, Sengupta S, Gupta D. Adjuvant drugs in Central Neuraxial Analgesia-A Review. *The Internet J Anesthesiol*. 2009;26.
6. Mayank Gupta, Priyanka Gupta, Dhananjay Kumar Singh. Effect of 3 different doses of Intrathecal Dexmedetomidine (2.5 µg, 5µg and 10 µg) on subarachnoid block Characteristics: A Prospective Randomized Double blind Dose-Response Trial. *Pain Physician* 2016;19:E411-E420.
7. Yektas A, Belli E. The effects of 2µg and 4µg doses of Dexmedetomidine in combination with intrathecal hyperbaric bupivacaine on spinal anesthesia and its post-operative analgesic characteristics. *Pain Res Manag* 2014;19:75-81.
8. Kimura M, Saito S, Obata H. Dexmedetomidine decreases hyperalgesia in neuropathic pain by increasing acetylcholine in the spinal cord. *Neurosci Lett* 2012;529:70-74.
9. Yaksh TL, Reddy S V. Studies in primate on the analgesic effect associated with intrathecal actions of opiates, alpha-adrenergic agonists, and baclofen. *Anesthesiology* 1981; 54:451-467.
10. Liu HJ, Gao XZ, Liu XM, Xia M, Li WY, Jin Y. Effect of intrathecal Dexmedetomidine on spinal morphine analgesia in patients with refractory cancer pain. *J Palliat Med* 2014;17:837-840.
11. Al Mustafa MM, Abu-Halaweh SA, Aloweidi AS, Murshidi MM, Ammari BA, Awwad ZM et al. Effect of Dexmedetomidine added to spinal bupivacaine for urological procedures. *Saudi Med J*. 2009;30:365-370.
12. Shaikh SI, Dattatri R. Dexmedetomidine as an adjuvant to hyperbaric spinal bupivacaine for infra-umbilical procedures: A dose related study. *Anesth Pain & Intensive Care* 2014;18(2):180-185.
13. Hala EA, Shafie MA, Yousef H. Dose-related prolongation of hyperbaric bupivacaine spinal anesthesia by Dexmedetomidine. *Ain Shams J of Anesthesiol* 2011;4:83-95.
14. Tarbeeh GA, Mohamed MA. Effects of intrathecal bupivacaine-fentanyl versus bupivacaine-Dexmedetomidine in diabetic surgical patients. *Egyptian J of Anaesth*. 2013;29:13-18.
15. Jamliya RH, Deshmukh V, Rajesh C, Maliwad J, Shah BJ, Minaxi H. Effect of adding Dexmedetomidine in intrathecal bupivacaine versus intrathecal bupivacaine alone on spinal block characteristics in orthopedic lower limb procedures. *Res J Pharm Biol Chem Sci* 2013;4:1340-1346.
16. Nethra SS, Sathesha M, Dixit A, Dongare PA, Harsoor SS, Devikarani D. Intrathecal Dexmedetomidine as adjuvant for spinal anesthesia for perianal ambulatory surgeries: A randomized double-blind controlled study. *Indian J Anaesth*. 2015 Mar;59(3):177-81.
17. Kim Je, Kim NY, Lee HS, Kil HK. Effects of Intrathecal Dexmedetomidine on low dose bupivacaine spinal anesthesia in elderly patients undergoing trans urethral prostatectomy. *Boil Pharm Bull*. 2013;36(6):959-65.
18. Kanazi GE, MT Aquad, SI Jabbour-Khoury, MD Al Jassar, MM Alameddine, R. Al-Yaman, M. Bulbul & A.S. Baraka. Effect of low dose dexmedetomidine or clonidine on the characteristics of bupivacaine spinal block. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2006;50:222-27.
19. Ramsay MAE. Acute post-operative pain management. *Proc (Bayl Univ Med Cent)* 2000;13:244-247.
20. Samantray A, Hemanth N, Gunnampati K, Pasupuleti H, Mukkara M, Rao MH. Comparison of the effects of adding dexmedetomidine versus midazolam to intrathecal

- bupivacaine on post-operative analgesia. *Pain Physician* 2015;18:71-77.
21. Tewari A, Katyal S, Grewal A, Singh MR, Katyal R. A comparison of intrathecal dexmedetomidine, clonidine, and fentanyl as adjuvants to hyperbaric bupivacaine for lower limb surgery: A double blind controlled study. *J Anesthesiol Clin Pharmacol* 2013;29:496-502.
  22. Halder S, Das A, Mandal D, Chandra M, Ray S, Biswas MJ, Mandal P, Das T. Effect of different doses of dexmedetomidine as adjuvant in bupivacaine-induced subarachnoid block for traumatized lower limb orthopedic surgery: A prospective double-blinded and randomized controlled study. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research* 2014; 8:GC01-GC06.
  23. Kalso EA, Poyhia R, Rosenberg PH. Spinal nociception by Dexmedetomidine, a Highly Selective  $\alpha_2$  Adrenergic Agonist. *Pharmacol Toxicol* 1991;68:140-3.
  24. Eid HEA, Shafie MA, Youssef HY. Dose related prolongation of hyperbaric bupivacaine spinal anesthesia by dexmedetomidine. *Ain Shams Journal of Anesthesiology* 2011;4:83-95.
  25. Smith C, Birnbaum G, Carter JL, Greenstein J, Lublin FD. Tinazidine treatment of spasticity caused by multiple sclerosis. *Neurology* 1994;44:34-43.
  26. Sullivan AF, Kalso EA, McQuay HJ, Dickenson AH. The antinociceptive actions of dexmedetomidine on dorsal horn neuronal responses in the anesthetized rat. *Eur J Pharmacol* 1992;215:127-133.
-